LCRC FORM 2



WISCONSIN LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL RULES CLEARINGHOUSE

Ronald Sklansky Clearinghouse Director

Terry C. Anderson Legislative Council Director

Richard Sweet Clearinghouse Assistant Director

Laura D. Rose Legislative Council Deputy Director

CLEARINGHOUSE REPORT TO AGENCY

[THIS REPORT HAS BEEN PREPARED PURSUANT TO S. 227.15, STATS. THIS IS A REPORT ON A RULE AS ORIGINALLY PROPOSED BY THE AGENCY; THE REPORT MAY NOT REFLECT THE FINAL CONTENT OF THE RULE IN FINAL DRAFT FORM AS IT WILL BE SUBMITTED TO THE LEGISLATURE. THIS REPORT CONSTITUTES A REVIEW OF, BUT NOT APPROVAL OR DISAPPROVAL OF, THE SUBSTANTIVE CONTENT AND TECHNICAL ACCURACY OF THE RULE.]

CLEARINGHOUSE RULE 02-103

AN ORDER to create chapter RL 222, relating to extension of disciplinary action time limits.

Submitted by **DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION AND LICENSING**

07-10-2002 RECEIVED BY LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

07-23-2002 REPORT SENT TO AGENCY.

RS:AS

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL RULES CLEARINGHOUSE REPORT

This rule has been reviewed by the Rules Clearinghouse. Based on that review, comments are reported as noted below:

1.	STATUTORY AUTHORITY [s. 227.15 (2) (a)]			
4.474	Comment Attached	YES 🔲	NO 🗸	
2.	FORM, STYLE AND PLACEMENT IN ADMINISTRATIVE CODE [s. 227.15 (2) (c)]			
	Comment Attached	YES 🔽	NO D	
3.	CONFLICT WITH OR DUPLIC		NG RULES [s. 227.15 (2) (d)]	
4.	ADEQUACY OF REFERENCES [s. 227.15 (2) (e)]			MS
	Comment Attached	YES 📙	NO 🗸	
5.	CLARITY, GRAMMAR, PUNCTUATION AND USE OF PLAIN LANGUAGE [s. 227.15 (2) (f)]			
	Comment Attached	YES 🔽	NO 🔲	
6.	POTENTIAL CONFLICTS WITH, AND COMPARABILITY TO, RELATED FEDER REGULATIONS [s. 227.15 (2) (g)]			DERAL
	Comment Attached	YES	NO 🗸	
7.	COMPLIANCE WITH PERMIT	ACTION DEADL	NE REQUIREMENTS [s. 227	.15 (2) (h)]
	Comment Attached	YES 🔲	NO 🔼	



WISCONSIN LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL RULES CLEARINGHOUSE

Ronald Sklansky Clearinghouse Director

Terry C. Anderson Legislative Council Director

Richard Sweet Clearinghouse Assistant Director

Laura D. Rose Legislative Council Deputy Director

CLEARINGHOUSE RULE 02-103

Comments

[NOTE: All citations to "Manual" in the comments below are to the Administrative Rules Procedures Manual, prepared by the Revisor of Statutes Bureau and the Legislative Council Staff, dated September 1998.]

2. Form, Style and Placement in Administrative Code

- a. In the statement of statutory authority and statutes interpreted in the analysis, the citation "448.02 (cm)" should be replaced by the citation "448.02 (3) (cm)."
- b. The analysis provides that the Secretary of the Department of Regulation and Licensing must consider the extent to which a person who is the potential subject of a disciplinary action will be prejudiced by an extension in considering whether to approve an extension, but the rule does not include this requirement.
- c. The definitions in s. RL 222.02 (1) and (4) should be deleted because the phrases are not used in ch. RL 222.
 - d. The definitions in s. RL 222.02 should include a definition of "secretary."

5. Clarity, Grammar, Punctuation and Use of Plain Language

- a. In the first paragraph of the analysis, in the last sentence, "fin" should be replaced with "in."
 - b. In s. RL 222.02 (1), "sdthe" should be replaced with "the."
 - c. In s. RL 222.02 (3), "the filing of" should be replaced with "to file."

- d. In s. RL 222.03 (1) (intro.), the text would be clearer if it read: "The board may request an extension of a time limit under s. 448.02 (3) (cm), Stats., from the secretary. The request shall be in writing and shall state all of the following:".
- e. In s. RL 222.03 (1) (b), "A request for an extension of the time specified in days to" should be replaced with a phrase such as: "The number of days the board requires as an extension in order to."
 - f. In s. RL 222.03 (3), "act on" should be replaced with "approve or deny."

elektrik in til til tellgrife i sammer i Allefa far

Bernold Bernold Bernold bei der Bernold bernold bei der Bernold bernold bei der B

And a series of the series of the series

the state of the s

genome organism of the control of the entire the control of the co

design of a second field of the second design of the second

in a superior of the second se

CONTROL OF CONTROL OF STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION AND LICENSING

IN THE MATTER OF RULE-MAKING PROPOSED ORDER OF THE

PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE

: DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION

DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION : AND LICENSING ADOPTING RULES : (CLEARINGHOUSE RULE 02-)

PROPOSED ORDER

名:1907年,在1月1日 2011年的4点,**学院表**验的特殊的结构,或由1907年,由19 An order of the Department of Regulation and Licensing to create ch. RL 222 relating to extension of disciplinary action time limits.

Analysis prepared by the Department of Regulation and Licensing.

ANALYSIS ANALYSIS Statutes authorizing promulgation: ss. 227.11 (2) and 448.02 (cm), Wis. Stats. (3) Harriston of Section of the American Section

Statutes interpreted: s. 448.02 (cm), Wis. Stats.

Section 448.02 (3) (cm), Wis. Stats., establishes certain time limits for the Medical Examining Board to initiate disciplinary action against physicians. The board may request the Secretary of the Department of Regulation and Licensing to grant an extension to those time limits. This proposed rule-making order describes how a request is made and the factors to be considered fin determining whether to grant an extension.

The request for an extension must be made in writing and shall include the nature and date of the investigation, the extension of time to determine whether a physician is guilty of unprofessional conduct or negligence, and the reasons why the board has not made a decision within the time specified. The greatering task is the conversal of the fact of the agree that open in the conversal and the conversal of the

Section RL 222.02, Wis. Admin. Code, creates definitions of terms used in the statutes. The four definitions are "allegation involving the death of a patient;" "board," "initiate disciplinary action," and "initiating an investigation."

Section RL 222.03, Wis. Admin. Code, summarizes the information needed for a request. In determining whether a specified extension of time is necessary for the board to determine whether there is probable cause for the extension the secretary shall take into consideration the nature and complexity of the investigation, the quantity and quality of evidence, the cause of any delays in the investigation, and the extent to which the person will be prejudiced by an extension of time, or the transfer of the first of the continues as a continue of the continues of th

The board may initiate disciplinary action against a physician no later than one year after initiating an investigation involving the death of a patient and no later than 3 years after initiating

materials of the desire of semantic

an investigation of any other allegation, unless the board shows that an extension of time is necessary.

TEXT OF RULE

SECTION 1. Chapter RL 222 is created to read:

CHAPTER RL 222

EXTENSION OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION TIME LIMITS

RL 222.01 Authority and purpose. RL 222.02 Definitions.

RL 222.03 Extension of disciplinary action time limits.

RL 222.01 Authority and purpose. The rules in this chapter are adopted by the department under the authority of ss. 15.08 (5) (b), 227.11 (2) and 448.02 (3) (cm), Stats., to govern the extension of disciplinary action time limits.

RL 222.02 Definitions. As used in this chapter:

- (1) "Allegation involving the death of a patient" means southe cause of the patient's death is alleged to be the direct result of the physician's alleged unprofessional conduct or negligence in treating the patient.
 - (2) "Board" means the medical examining board.
- (3) "Initiate disciplinary action" means the filing of a complaint as defined in s. RL 2.03 (2).
- (4) "Initiating an investigation" means the decision to commence an investigation of an informal complaint as defined in s. RL 2.03 (7) following the initial screening of the informal complaint as provided for in s. RL 2.035.
- RL 222.03 Extension of disciplinary action time limits. (1) The board shall make a written request for an extension of time under s. 448.02 (3) (cm), Stats., to the secretary and shall state all of the following:
- (a) The nature of the investigation and the date the investigation commenced.
- (b) A request for an extension of time specified in days to determine whether a physician is guilty of unprofessional conduct or negligence in treatment and to initiate disciplinary action.
- (c) The reasons why the board has not made a decision within the time specified under s. 448.02 (3) (cm), Stats.

board to determine wheth		leny a specified extension of time for the unprofessional conduct or negligence in owing:
(a) any delays encountered of		ty of the investigation including the cause of
(3) The s		of evidence available to the board. est for an extension within 20 days of receipt. med denied.
	(END OF TEXT	OF RULE)
		the first day of the month following pursuant to s. 227.22 (2) (intro.), Wis. Stats.
Dated	Agency	

FISCAL ESTIMATE

Oscar Herrera, Secretary Department of Regulation and Licensing

- 1. The anticipated fiscal effect on the fiscal liability and revenues of any local unit of government of the proposed rule is: \$0.00.
- 2. The projected anticipated state fiscal effect during the current biennium of the proposed rule is: \$0.00.

Agency

3. The projected net annualized fiscal impact on state funds of the proposed rule is: \$0.00.

INITIAL REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS

These proposed rules will be reviewed by the department through its Small Business Review Advisory Committee to determine whether there will be an economic impact on a substantial number of small businesses, as defined in s. 227.114 (1) (a), Wis. Stat.

g:\rules\chrl222.doc 6/20/02